

A product decomposition for the classical quasisimple groups

Nikolay Nikolov

Abstract

We prove that every quasisimple group of classical type is a product of boundedly many conjugates of a quasisimple subgroup of type A_n .

1 Main Result and Notation

Let S be a quasisimple group of classical Lie type $\mathcal{X}$, that is one from $\{A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, {}^2A_n, {}^2D_n\}$. Its classical definition is as a quotient of some group of linear transformations of a vector space over a finite field F preserving a nondegenerate form. We shall not make use of this geometry but instead rely on the Lie theoretic approach. In other words we view S as the group of fixed points of certain automorphism of an algebraic group defined as follows:

Let F be a field (finite or infinite). In order that S is quasisimple when rank of $\mathcal{X}$ is small (≤ 2) some extra conditions are usually imposed on F , for example that it is perfect and has enough elements.

In case $\mathcal{X} \in \{A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n\}$ let $G(-)$ be a Chevalley group of Lie type $\mathcal{X}$ defined over F . Then S is the subgroup of $G(F)$ generated by all its unipotent elements.

In case $\mathcal{X}$ is A_n or D_n then (depending on its isogeny type) $G(-)$ has an outer *graph* automorphism τ of order 2 defined by the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{X}$. We further assume that F has an automorphism $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ of order 2, this defines another involutory automorphism f of $G(F)$. The group S is invariant under τ and f . The classical group of type ${}^2\mathcal{X}$ is then defined as the group of fixed points of S under the Steinberg automorphism $\sigma := \tau f$.

We shall not go into further details of the definition and structure of S . The reader is assumed to be familiar with Carter's book [1] which is our main reference. Other sources are [2] or Section 2 of [5].

When $\mathcal{X} \neq A_n$ we shall define a certain quasisimple subgroup S_1 of S which has type A_{n_1} (so it is a central quotient of $\mathrm{SL}_{n_1+1}(F_0)$ for an appropriate n_1 and a subfield of F).

Our main result is

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification primary 20D06, secondary 20D40

Theorem 1. *There is a constant $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: If $\mathcal{X} \neq A_n$ then there exist M conjugates $S_i = S_1^{g_i}$ of S_1 such that*

$$S = S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdots S_M := \{s_1 \cdots s_M \mid s_i \in S_i\}.$$

In fact we can take $M = 200$.

This result reduces many problems about decompositions of classical groups to those of type A_n which are usually easier. Such problems have been considered in [5], [7], [6] and [4]. While the conclusion of Theorem 1 is not unexpected in view of the large size of S_1 compared to $|S|$ it seems to have escaped attention so far and the author has not been able to find a proof of it in the literature. It is possible that geometric methods will yield a much better bound for M but we haven't been able to find a simpler proof than the one given below.

Finally we remark that a similar result holds for quasisimple groups S of type A_n (where it is easy): S is a product of at most 5 conjugates of a subgroup of type A_{n-1} . Using this we can add further conditions on the subgroup S_1 in Theorem 1. See Section 4 for details.

2 Notation and definitions

Remark. *If $\text{char } F = 2$ then the simple groups of types B_n and C_n are isomorphic. In this situation we shall assume that S has type $\mathcal{X} = B_n$. This has implications for the Chevalley commutator relations we use, cf. the proviso in the statement of Lemma 1.*

In case $\mathcal{X}$ is 2A_n or 2D_n define $F' \subset F$ to be the subfield of F fixed by its automorphism $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ of order 2.

Let Π be a fixed set of fundamental roots in the root system Σ of S . We assume that $n' = |\Pi| \geq 2$. In case $\mathcal{X} = {}^2A_{2n'}$ the convention in [2] is that Σ has type $BC_{n'}$.

The root subgroups of S are denoted by X_w for $w \in \Sigma$ and are usually one-parameter with parameter t ranging over either

- F' (if $\mathcal{X} \in \{{}^2D_n, {}^2A_{2n'-1}\}$ and w is long), or
- F (otherwise).

The only exception to this is when $\mathcal{X} = {}^2A_{2n'}$ and w is a short root of Σ ; then X_w is 2-parameter, as described in [2] Table 2.4, type IV. In this case we consider the center of X_w as another (one-parameter over F') root subgroup $Y_{2w} \leq X_w$ associated to the doubled root $2w$. (The reason for this is that we wish to define in a natural way a filtration on the positive unipotent group U_+ below).

Definition. (a) An element $a \in X_w$ is proper if $a = X_w(t)$ or $a = Y_{2w}(t')$, or (if X_w is 2-parameter) $a = X_w(t, t')$ with $t \in F \setminus \{0\}$, respectively $t' \in F' \setminus \{0\}$.

(b) Further, when $\mathcal{X} = {}^2D_{n'+1}$ (and so Σ has type $B_{n'}$), for a short root w we call two root elements $a_1, a_2 \in X_w$ a proper pair if $a = X_w(t_1), a' = X_w(t_2)$ are such that $F't_1 + F't_2 = F$.

The fundamental roots Π determine a partition of $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-$ into the sets of the positive and negative roots. Define

$$U_+ := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_+} X_w, \quad U_- := \prod_{w \in \Sigma_-} X_w.$$

From now on we shall assume that $\mathcal{X} \neq A_n$.

Recall the standard realization of Σ in the n' -dimensional euclidean space E with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n'}$:

$$\Sigma_+ = \{\pm e_i + e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n'\} \cup \Theta,$$

where

Θ is empty if Σ has type $D_{n'}$,

$\Theta = \{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n'\}$ in type $B_{n'}$,

$\Theta = \{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n'\}$ in type $C_{n'}$ and

$\Theta = \{e_i, 2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n'\}$ in type $BC_{n'}$.

For this choice of Σ_+ the fundamental roots Π are

$$\Pi = \{e_{i+1} - e_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n' - 1\} \cup \{r_0\},$$

where if Σ is of type $D_{n'}$ then $r_0 = e_1 + e_2$, in case Σ has type $B_{n'}$ or $BC_{n'}$ then $r_0 = e_1$ and in case Σ has type $C_{n'}$ we have $r_0 = 2e_1$.

For a root $r \in \Sigma_+$ we denote the *height* of r by $ht(r)$. This means that r is a sum of $ht(r)$ fundamental roots (maybe with repetitions).

Set $\Pi_1 := \Pi \setminus \{r_0\}$ and $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}\Pi_1$. Then Σ_1 is a root subsystem of type A_{n_1} with $n_1 = n' - 1$.

Let

$$S_1 := \langle X_v \mid v \in \pm \Pi_1 \rangle, \quad U_1 := \langle X_v \mid v \in \Pi_1 \rangle.$$

The group S_1 is a Levi factor of a parabolic subgroup of S , thus it is quasisimple of Lie type A_{n_1} with $n_1 \geq 1$ and U_1 is its positive unipotent subgroup. The field of definition of S_1 is again F with the exception of type $\mathcal{X} = {}^2D_n$ when it is F' .

3 The Proof of Theorem 1

We use the following result by M. Liebeck and L. Pyber:

Theorem 2 ([4], Theorem D). *If S is a quasisimple group of classical Lie type then*

$$S = (U_+ U_-)^6 U_+.$$

This reduces the problem to showing that U_+ is contained in a product of boundedly many (say M_1) conjugates of U_1 . Then by symmetry the same result holds for U_- and we can take $M = 13M_1$.

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1 we record a result which is a consequence of the Chevalley commutator relations ([2] Theorems 1.12.1 and 2.4.5):

Lemma 1. *Let u, v and $u + v$ be roots of the system Σ of classical type, such that $u \in \Sigma_1$.*

Assume that if $\text{char } F = 2$ and S has type $\mathcal{X} = C_n \simeq B_n$ then u, v is not a pair of short root summing to the long root $u + v$.

Further, let $()$ be the condition that*

$()$: The Lie type $\mathcal{X}$ of S is 2D_n , and the roots $u + v$ and v are short while u is long.*

Then

(a) If not $()$ and $a \in X_v$ is **proper** then*

$$X_{u+v} \subseteq [X_u, a] \cdot Z(u, v),$$

where $Z(u, v)$ is the product of all root subgroups X_w, Y_w with $w = iu + jv$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i + j > 2$. (It may be that $Z = \{1\}$.)

(b) Suppose that $()$ holds. Then if $a_1, a_2 \in X_v$ is a **proper pair** of root elements we have*

$$X_{u+v} \subseteq [X_u, a_1][X_u, a_2] \cdot Z(u, v),$$

where $Z(u, v)$ is as in (a).

We now define 4 elements of $U = U_+$:

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n' - 1$ let a_i be a fixed proper element of the root subgroup $X_{e_i + e_{i+1}}$. When $\mathcal{X}$ is different from ${}^2D_{n'+1}$ and ${}^2A_{2n'}$ fix a proper element b_i in X_{e_i} or X_{2e_i} as relevant. If $\mathcal{X} = {}^2D_{n'+1}$ then fix a proper pair of elements $c_i, c'_i \in X_{e_i}$. If $\mathcal{X} = {}^2A_{2n'}$ then fix a proper element $d_i \in X_{e_i}$ and a proper element $d'_i \in Y_{2e_i}$.

Definition. *We set*

$$w_1 := a_1 a_3 a_5 \cdots, \quad w_2 := a_2 a_4 a_6 \cdots,$$

If $\mathcal{X} = D_{n'}$ then set $w_3 = w_4 = 1$.

If $\mathcal{X} = {}^2D_{n'+1}$ define $w_3 = c_1 \cdots c_{n'}$, $w_4 = c'_1 \cdots c'_{n'}$.

If $\mathcal{X} = {}^2A_{2n'}$ define $w_3 = d_1 \cdots d_{n'}$, $w_4 = d'_1 \cdots d'_{n'}$.

In all other cases $w_3 := b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{n'}$ and $w_4 := 1$.

Let $\Delta = \Sigma_+ \setminus (\Sigma_1 \cup \{r_0, 2r_0\})$ and define

$$D = \prod_{w \in \Delta} X_w.$$

Then D is a normal subgroup of U and we have $U = X_{r_0} \cdot U_1 \cdot D$.

In the case when Σ has type $B_{n'}$ we shall need one extra conjugate of U_1 .

More precisely, when Σ has type $B_{n'}$ define $W = X_r$ where $r = e_1 + e_2$. In all other cases set $W = 1$. Since $e_1 + e_2$ has the same length as the roots in Σ_1 it is clear that W is contained in a conjugate of U_1 , say U_1^s .

We shall prove

Proposition 1.

$$D = W \prod_{j=1}^4 [U_1, w_j]. \quad (1)$$

To deal with X_{r_0} we consider three cases:

(a) When Σ has type $D_{n'}$ the root r_0 has the same length as the roots in Σ_1 . Therefore X_{r_0} is contained in a conjugate of U_1 .

(b) Suppose Σ is of type $B_{n'}$. We can write $r_0 = e_1 = u + v$ where $u = e_1 - e_2 \in \Sigma_-$, $v = e_2$. Thus u is conjugate to a root in Σ_{1+} and hence $X_u \subseteq U_1^s$ for some $s \in S$. Note that all roots of Σ of the form $iu + jv$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ except r_0 lie in Δ . By Lemma 1 then

$$X_{r_0} \subseteq [X_u, a]D$$

for some proper $a \in X_v$, unless (*) holds when

$$X_{r_0} \subseteq [X_u, a_1][X_u, a_2]D$$

for some proper pair $a_1, a_2 \in X_v$.

(c) If Σ has type $C_{n'}$ then $r_0 = 2e_1$. We set $u = e_1 + e_2$, $v = e_1 - e_2$ and the argument is as above. Note that in case that S has type $\mathcal{X} = C_n$ the characteristic of F is assumed to be different from 2 and so the conclusion of Lemma 1 applies.

(d) Finally, if Σ has type $BC_{n'}$ then $r_0 = e_1$ and X_{r_0} is 2-parameter. Let $r_0 = e_1 \in \Pi$, $u = e_1 - e_2 \in \Sigma_-$, and let g_1 be a proper element of the 2-parameter root subgroup X_{e_2} and g_2 be a proper element of $X_{e_1 + e_2}$. Then

$$Y_{2r_0} \subset X_{r_0} \subseteq [X_u, g_1] \cdot [X_u, g_2] \cdot D.$$

Note that, again, X_u is conjugate to a root subgroup in U_1 .

In conclusion, we see that in all three cases we have

$$U_+ = X_{r_0} U_1 D = X_{r_0} U_1 W \cdot \prod_{j=1}^4 [U_1, w_j] \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^6 U_1^{s_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^4 (U_1 \cdot U_1^{w_j})$$

for some appropriate choice of $s_1, \dots, s_6 \in S$.

Hence we can take $M_1 = 14$ and Theorem 1 follows with $M = 13 \times 14 = 182$.

Proof of Proposition 1:

Let $v \in \Sigma_{1+}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ be such that $[X_v, w_j] \neq 1$. The element w_j was defined as a product of certain proper root elements a_i , b_i or c_i, c'_i (notation depending on j and the type $\mathcal{X}$ of S). It is easy to see that X_v commutes with all except one of the constituents a_i , (resp b_i or c_i, c'_i) of w_j ($i = 1, \dots, n'$). Say this constituent is $a \in X_\alpha$ for the appropriate root $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_1$ (or a proper pair $c, c' \in X_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{X} = {}^2D_n$).

Let $w = w(j, v) := v + \alpha \in \Delta$. Using Lemma 1 it easily follows that

(A) If not (*) then

$$[X_v, w_j] \cdot Z = [X_v, a] \cdot Z = X_w Z,$$

where $Z = Z(w)$ is the product of root subgroups in D of height $> ht(w)$.

(B) If (*) holds then

$$[X_v, w_3][X_v, w_4] \cdot Z = [X_v, c][X_v, c'] \cdot Z = X_w Z.$$

Moreover, the root $w \in \Delta$ together with w_j uniquely determines $v \in \Sigma_{1+}$.

We set $t_j(v) := ht(w) \geq 2$ and for completeness declare that $t_j(v) = \infty$ if X_w and w_j commute.

Fixing j for the moment, choose an ordering of the roots Σ_{1+} with non-increasing t_j and write $u \in U_1$ as $u = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_1} x_v$ as a product of root elements x_v in that (non-increasing $t_j(v)$) order. Now, using the identity $[xy, w] = [x, w]^y \cdot [y, w]$ we obtain

$$[u, w_j] = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_1} [x_v, w_j]^{h_v}, \quad (2)$$

where h_v is an element which depends on x_w with $t_j(w) \leq t_j(v)$.

For $i \geq 2$ let $D(i)$ be the product of the root subgroups $X_v \subseteq D$ with $ht(v) \geq i$. Then $D = D(2) > D(3) > \dots > \{1\}$ is a filtration of D .

We prove that the identity (1) holds modulo $D(k)$ for each $k \geq 2$. We use induction on k , starting with $k = 2$ (when it is trivial). Assuming that (1) holds modulo $D(k)$, we shall prove that it holds modulo $D(k+1)$.

Let $\Delta_j(k)$ be the set of roots $r \in \Sigma_1$ such that $t_j(r) = k$. It is clear that $\Delta_j(k) \cap \Delta_j(k') = \emptyset$ if $k \neq k'$.

Let $g \in D$ be arbitrary. By the induction hypothesis

$$g = d^{-1} y_k \prod_{j=1}^4 [g_j, w_j]$$

for some $d \in D(k)$, $y_k \in W$ and $x_j \in U_1$. We may assume that $y_k = 1$ unless $k = 3$ and $g_j \in \prod_{t_j(v) < k} X_v$. Let $x_j \in \prod_{t_j(v) = k} X_v$. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^4 [x_j g_j, w_j] = \prod_{j=1}^4 [x_j, w_j]^{h_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^4 [g_j, w_j],$$

where $h_j = g_j \prod_{l < j} [g_l, w_l]$. In turn we have

$$x_j = \prod_{v \in \Delta_j(k)} x_{j,v} \quad (\text{product in the chosen order}),$$

where $x_{j,v} \in X_v$ and $t_j(v) = k$. Using (2) we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^4 [x_j g_j, w_j] = \prod_{j=1}^4 \left(\prod_{v \in \Delta_j(k)} [x_{j,v}, w_j]^{h_{j,v}} \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^4 [g_j, w_j],$$

for some $h_{j,v} \in U$ depending on h_j and the root elements $x_{j,w}$ succeeding $x_{j,v}$ in the ordering.

Now, for $v \in \Delta_j(k)$ and $x_{j,v} \in X_v$ we have $[x_{j,v}, w_j]^{h_{j,v}} \equiv [x_{j,v}, w_j] \pmod{D(k+1)}$. Therefore it is enough to prove the following:

Proposition 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $d \in D(k)/D(k+1)$. There exist $x_{j,v} \in X_v$ for each $v \in \Delta_j(k)$ and $y \in W$ (with $y = 1$ unless $k = 3$ and Σ of type $B_{n'}$) such that*

$$d \equiv y \cdot \prod_{j=1}^4 \left(\prod_{v \in \Delta_j(k)} [x_{j,v}, w_j] \right) \pmod{D(k+1)}.$$

Given $v \in \Delta_j(k)$ let $r = r(j, v)$ be the unique root of height k such that $[X_v, w_j] = X_r \pmod{D(k+1)}$. The group $D(k)/D(k+1)$ is abelian and product of the root subgroups X_r for $r \in D$ and $ht(v) = k$.

From Lemma 1 and our definitions of w_i it is easy to see that we only need to check that

(A) If not (*) then for each r in Δ of height k (with the exception of $r = e_1 + e_2$ in type $B_{n'}$) there exist at least one $j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$ and $v \in \Delta_j(k)$ such that $r = r(j, v)$.

(B) If (*) holds then for each short root $r = e_k \in \Delta$ of height $k \geq 2$ there are long roots $v_3 \in \Delta_3(k), v_4 \in \Delta_4(k)$ such that $r = r(3, v_3) = r(4, v_4)$.

Now Part (B) is clear. In fact this is the reason why we introduced proper pairs and the elements w_3 and w_4 in the case when S has type 2D_n .

Similarly part (A) is a matter of simple verification depending on the Lie type $\mathcal{X}$ of S :

Case 1: $\mathcal{X} = D_{n'}$. $r = e_i + e_l$, where $i < l$ and $(i, l) \neq (1, 2)$. If $i > 1$ take $v = e_l - e_{i-1}$ and $j = 1$ if i is even, $j = 2$ if i is odd. In both cases we have that X_v commutes with all constituents of w_j except a_{i-1} and thus $[X_v, w_j] = [X_v, a_{i-1}] = X_r \pmod{D(k+1)}$. Recall that a_{i-1} is a proper element in the root subgroup of $e_{i-1} + e_i$.

On the other hand, if $i = 1$ then $l > 2$. Take $j = 1, v = e_l - e_2$ and the argument is as above.

Case 2: $\mathcal{X} = B_{n'}$ or ${}^2D_{n'+1}$ so its root system Σ has type $B_{n'}$. The only roots in Δ not covered in Case 1 or (B) are:

$r = e_1 + e_2$: this is the exception, we have introduced $W = X_r$ precisely for this root and simply choose the appropriate $y \in W$.

$r = e_l$, ($n' \geq l \geq 2$) in type $\mathcal{X} = B_{n'}$: Take $v = e_l - e_{l-1}$, $j = 3$ and then we have $[X_v, b_{l-1}] = X_r \bmod D(k+1)$. Recall that b_{l-1} is a proper element of the root subgroup $X_{e_{l-1}}$ and $w_3 = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{n'}$.

Case 3: $\mathcal{X} = C_{n'}$ or ${}^2A_{2n'-1}$ so Σ has type $C_{n'}$. The roots $e_i + e_l$ ($(i, l) \neq (1, 2)$) are dealt with in the same way as in Case 1. The remaining ones are:

$r = e_1 + e_2$: Take $j = 3$ and $v = e_2 - e_1$. Then v commutes with all b_i in $w_3 = b_1 \cdots b_{n'}$ except b_1 : the proper element of X_{2e_2} . Thus $[X_v, w_j] = [X_v, b_1] = X_r \bmod D(k+1)$.

$r = 2e_l$, ($n' \geq l \geq 2$): In order to obtain long roots subgroups we need that if $\mathcal{X} = C_{n'}$ then the characteristic of F is not 2 (as we have assumed from the start). Take $v = e_l - e_{l-1}$ and choose $j = 1, 2$ such that a proper element a_{l-1} of root subgroup $e_{l-1} + e_l$ is a constituent of w_j . (i.e. take $j = 1$ if l is even and $j = 2$ if l is odd.)

Case 4: $\mathcal{X} = {}^2A_{2n'}$ so Σ has type $BC_{n'}$. Then a combination of the reasoning from Cases 1, 2 and 3 gives the conclusion:

More precisely we obtain the root subgroups X_r for $r = e_i + e_l$, $(i, l) \neq (1, 2)$ as in Case 1. $X_{e_1 + e_2}$ is obtained from $j = 4$ and $v = e_2 - e - 1$.

The root subgroups X_{e_l} , ($l \geq 2$) are obtained (modulo $D(k+1) \geq Y_{2e_l}$) from $[X_{e_l - e_{l-1}}, w_3]$ for $j = 3$ and $v = e_l - e_{l-1}$ as in Case 2.

Finally the root subgroup Y_{2e_l} for $l \geq 2$ is obtained with $v = e_l - e_{l-1}$ and $j = 1$ ($j = 2$) if l is even (resp. odd) just as in Case 3.

4 Variations

First we shall consider the analogue of Theorem 1 when S has type A_n , $n \geq 3$:

Without loss of generality we may assume that $S = \text{SL}_{n+1}(F)$, acting on $V = F^{(n)}$ with standard basis $(v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$. For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ let V_i be the subspace of V spanned by all v_j with $j \neq i$ and define

$$S(i) = \{g \in S \mid g \cdot v_i = v_i, g \cdot V_i = V_i\}$$

It is clear that all $S(i)$ are conjugate to each other and isomorphic to $\text{SL}_n(F)$.

Lemma 2.

$$S = S(3) \cdot S(2) \cdot S(1) \cdot S(2) \cdot S(3).$$

Proof This is well known. For completeness we sketch one argument.

Let $g \in S$. It is easy to see that $S(3)S(2) \cdot v_1 = V \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore there exist $a_2 \in S(2), a_3 \in S(3)$ such that $g \cdot v_1 = a_3 a_2 \cdot v_1$. Hence the matrix $g' := a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} g$ has the transpose of $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ as its first column.

By right multiplication with the elementary matrices $1 + \lambda_j E_{1,j}$, with $2 \leq j \leq n+1$ and appropriate $\lambda_j \in F$ we can make the first row of g' to be $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. As

$$\langle 1 + \lambda_j E_{1,j} \mid 2 \leq j \leq n+1, \lambda_j \in F \rangle \subseteq S(3)S(2)$$

we conclude that there exist $b_2 \in S(2), b_3 \in S(3)$ such that $g'b_3b_2 = g'' \in S(1)$. Therefore $g = a_3a_2g''b_2^{-1}b_3^{-1}$ as required $\square$.

Some applications of Theorem 1 may need extra conditions on the subgroup S_1 . For example in [5] it is required that S_1 be invariant under the group $\mathcal{D}\Phi\Gamma$ of diagonal-field-graph automorphisms of S . The only exception to this is the case when S has type $\mathcal{X} = D_{n'}$, when S_1 is not preserved by the graph automorphism τ of order 2. (Since τ does not preserve the set Π_1 of fundamental roots). In this case we define

$$\bar{\Pi} := \Pi \setminus \{r_0, r_0^\tau\} = \{e_{i+1} - e_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, n' - 1\}$$

and $\bar{S} := \langle X_r \mid r \in \pm\bar{\Pi} \rangle$.

The group $\bar{S}$ is a Levi factor of a parabolic of S_1 and is conjugate to the groups $S(i)$ in Lemma 2 (defined for S_1 as an image of $S = \mathrm{SL}_{n_1+1}(F)$). Then Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 imply the following

Corollary 1. *S is a product of some $5M < 1000$ conjugates of $\bar{S}$.*

References

- [1] R. W. Carter. *Simple groups of Lie type*. John Wiley and Sons, 1972.
- [2] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups 3*, AMS Mathematical surveys and monographs **40**, 1998.
- [3] M. W. Liebeck and L. Pyber, Finite linear groups and bounded generation, *Duke Math. J.* **107** (2001), 159-171.
- [4] M. W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, Diameters of finite simple groups: sharp bounds and applications, *Annals of Math.* **154** (2001), 383-406.
- [5] N. Nikolov and D. Segal, On finitely generated profinite groups, II: products in quasisimple groups, *Annals of Math.*, to appear.
- [6] J. Saxl and J. S. Wilson, A note on powers in simple groups. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **122** (1997), 91-94.
- [7] J. S. Wilson, On simple pseudofinite groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **51** (1995), 471-490.

Nikolay Nikolov
New College,
Oxford OX1 3BN,
UK.